# Introduction

In the field of linguistic typology we're interested the diversity of the languages of the world. In many cases this means the creation of a typological database collecting information on how a linguistic phenomenon is expressed from a balanced sample of languages of the world. In this example we'll create such a database and annotate its schema with ISOcat data categories.

## A database schema

The basic word order of a language is a very well-known linguistic phenomenon. A common encoding is based on subject, object and verb. This results in 6 possible orders:

- 1. subject-object-verb (sov)
- 2. subject-verb-object (svo)
- 3. object-subject-verb (osv)
- 4. object-verb-subject (ovs)
- 5. verb-object-subject (vos)
- 6. verb-subject-object (vso)

A simple database schema would consist of one table containing the following columns:

- language name
- language code (ISO-639-3)
- basic word order
- source
- informant

### Hands-on: ISOcat basics

You might work in a team on this database schema (this is just the start <sup>©</sup>):

- 1. create an ISOcat group
- 2. invite your neighbor to this group

### Hands-on: creating Data Category selections

ISOcat might already contain interesting data categories for this schema:

- 1. create a Data Category Selection (DCS)
- 2. share the DCS with the just created group
- 3. collect existing data categories matching with the semantics of the columns in the new DCS

### Hands-on: creating Data Category specifications

Not all columns can be related to an existing data category, e.g., basic word order. Create

- 1. some simple data categories for some of the values for basic word order
- 2. a new closed data category for basic word order with these new simple data categories in its conceptual domain

Think about good definitions, data element names and name sections.

### *Take home*: beyond ISOcat – data category references

How would you make the relations between our database (schema) and ISOcat data categories explicit?

### *Take home*: beyond ISOcat – ontological relationships

The existence of object and subject is for some linguists debatable. In their view word order has three basic values:

- 1. predicate initial
- 2. predicate medial
- 3. predicate final

How would you express and store the relationship between a simple data category or concept for predicate initial and one of the simple data categories created in the hands-on session?